UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/597,598	08/01/2006	Stefan Tobolka	93179-19	3662
22463 SMART & BIC	7590 07/21/200 GGAR	EXAMINER		
438 UNIVERSI		SELLS, JAMES D		
,	ITE 1500, BOX 111 RONTO, ON M5G 2K8		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CANADA	CANADA			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/21/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/597,598	TOBOLKA, STEFAN				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	James Sells	1791				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>30 Ma</u>	arch 2009.					
/ <u> </u>	action is non-final.					
·=	, <del></del>					
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1,8-14,17-19 and 21-30 is/are pending	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,8-14,17-19 and 21-30</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,8-14,17-19 and 21-30</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	•					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 August 2006</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o		•				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
•	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
dee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachmont/s)						
Attachment(s)  1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	nte					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/597,598 Page 2

Art Unit: 1791

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 8-14, 17-19 and 21-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wilcox (US Patent 5,403,427) in view of Sharps, Jr. et al (US Patent 4,872,942) in further view of Salerno et al (US Patent 5,942,076).

Wilcox discloses a seal bar. As shown in the figures, the seal bar system comprises halves 12a and 12b, heating element 16, cutting knife 18, and temperature sensor 24. Seal bar halves are preferably made of a heat transfer material such as aluminum (see col. 3, lines 3-5). In operation, the seal bar seals and severs overlapping portions of packaging material 41 with controller 32 maintaining the operating temperature of the seal bar 10

Regarding claims 1, 14 and 19, Wilcox does not explicitly disclose that the seal bar halves (i.e. supporting base) have a lower thermal conductivity than the cutting knife. However, it is the examiner's position that it would have been obvious to employ a cutting knife with a high thermal conductivity in order to cut the materials more easily. Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to employ seal bar halves with a lower thermal conductivity than the cutting knife in the apparatus and system of Wilcox.

However, Wilcox does not disclose the type of heater claimed by the applicant.

Regarding this difference, the applicant is directed to the reference of Sharps.

Sharps discloses a seal bar assembly comprising seal bar structure 30 and cutting element 32. At col. 5, lines 53-62, Sharps disclose that the seal bar is dielectric. At col. 6, lines 16-37, Sharps discloses that the cutting element 32 is heated by an intermittent or pulsed electrical current. At col. 6, lines 16-37, Sharps discloses the method of operation of the seal bar. This method involves raising the temperature of element 32 to approximately 1500°F to heat and seal the materials.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to substitute the heating system taught by Sharps for the heating element in the apparatus and system of Wilcox since the heating systems are functionally equivalent alternate expedients in the art. It is the examiner's position that specific materials (i.e. anodized aluminum and ceramic) are well known for their physical and thermal properties would have been obvious to employ in the system of Wilcox in order to impart such desirable physical and thermal properties to the system.

However, Wilcox does not disclose the processor for controlling the electromotive force for establishing a baseline current in the manner claimed by the applicant.

Regarding this difference, the applicant is directed to the reference of Salerno.

Salerno discloses an inflatable bag-forming machine. As shown in Fig. 4, the system comprises seal means 150 with bands or wires 152 for sealing tubular material F. Salerno further discloses a control system (EEPROM 161) for controlling the temperature of the cutting or sealing wire. This control system calibrates the sealing

Application/Control Number: 10/597,598 Page 4

Art Unit: 1791

wire to obtain measurements concerning the wire's individual characteristics such as its precise temperature coefficient of resistance. The appropriate temperature or temperature range may then be chosen for the wire to cut the plastic materials. Such a system provides precise control of the sealing and cutting operations to provide a complete and accurate seal and cut of the materials. See col. 5, lines 16-59.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to employ a control system for controlling the electromotive force for establishing a baseline current, as taught by Salerno, in the system of Wilcox in order to provide the predictable result of providing precise control of the sealing and cutting operations. In addition, it is the examiner's position that the specific materials (i.e. polyethylene/polypropylene tube) and liquid dispensing spigot are well known in the art and would have been obvious to employ in the system of Wilcox in order to provide the predictable result of producing articles with desired physical properties.

# Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 8-14, 17-19 and 21-30 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See

Art Unit: 1791

MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

# Telephone/Fax

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James Sells whose telephone number is (571) 272-1237. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Phil Tucker can be reached on (571) 272-1095. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/597,598 Page 6

Art Unit: 1791

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/James Sells/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1791